arXiv:1602.00644v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 1 Feb 2016

Cluster numbers in percolation

Stephan Mertens[1], Iwan Jensen[2], and Robert M. Ziff[3]
[1] *Institut für Theoretische Physik, Otto-von-Guericke Universität, PF 4120, 39016 Magdeburg, Germany*
Santa Fe Institute, 1399 Hyde Park Rd., Santa Fe, NM 87501, USA
[2] *School of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia*
[3] *Center for the Study of Complex Systems and Department of Chemical Engineering,*
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-2136, USA

We study the number of clusters per site $n(p)$ in lattice percolation on several systems by high-order series analysis, Monte-Carlo simulation on large systems, exact enumerations on small systems, and analysis using results from conformal theory and general percolation theory. We find many new results, including a precise value 0.017625277368(2) for $n(p_c)$ for site percolation on the triangular lattice, precise measurement of the amplitude of the singularity $|p-p_c|^{2-\alpha}$, and metric scale factors. We make use of the matching polynomial of Sykes and Essam to find exact relations between amplitudes for lattices and matching lattices.

PACS numbers: 64.60.ah, 64.60.De, 05.70.Jk, 05.70.+q

Percolation is the study of connectivity in random systems, particularly of the transition that occurs when the connectivity first becomes long-ranged [1]. Examples are the formation of gels in polymer systems [2], conductivity in random conductor/insulator mixtures [3], and flow of fluids in random porous materials [4]. The percolation model is of immense theoretical interest in the field of statistical mechanics, being a particularly simple example of a system that undergoes a non-trivial phase transition. It is directly related to the Ising model through the Fortuin-Kasteleyn [5] representation of the Potts model. Variations that have received attention recently including k-core (or bootstrap) percolation [6], invasion percolation and watersheds [7, 8], and explosive percolation [8, 9]. Percolation has also been intensely studied in the mathematical field in recent years [10–12].



Figure 1: Second derivative of the cluster density $n_L(p)$ for square lattices of size $L \times L$ for $L = 8, 16, \ldots, 1024$. Error bars are much smaller than the linewidth. The vertical dashed line marks the percolation threshold p_c.

In the basic model of random percolation, one considers a lattice of sites (vertices) or bonds (edges), and randomly occupies a fraction p of these, creating clusters of connected components. Of particular interest is the behavior near the critical threshold p_c where an infinite cluster appears.

The study of percolation has utilized a large variety of approaches, including experimental measurements [3], series analysis of exact results on small systems [13], theoretical methods [14], conformal invariance [15], Schramm Loewner Evolution theory [10, 11], and computer simulation [16–20], for which various algorithms have been developed [21–24]. For some classes of 2d models, thresholds can be found exactly [25–27], and recently methods have been developed to find approximate 2d values to very high precision [28–30]. A recent study of a hex-like game on a square lattice has also led to a very accurate determination of $p_c = 0.59274605095(15)$ for square lattice[31], superseded in precision by [30] (see below).

One of the earliest and most fundamental quantities to be studied in percolation is simply the number of clusters per site $n(p)$ as a function of the occupation probability p [25, 32]; this quantity corresponds to the free energy of the percolating system. While $n(p)$ at the critical threshold p_c is a non-universal quantity that depends upon the system being studied, many aspects of it are universal, and some are known exactly. In the present paper, we report many new high-precision results and relations concerning $n(p)$ at and near p_c, for a variety of systems, and discuss some applications of these results.

According to scaling theory, in an infinite system and for p near p_c, $n(p)$ behaves as

$$n(p) = A_0 + B_0(p-p_c) + C_0(p-p_c)^2 + \mathcal{A}^{\pm}|p-p_c|^{2-\alpha} + \ldots . \quad (1)$$

where the first three terms represent the non-universal analytical part, and the last term represents the singular part. $\mathcal{A}^{\pm}$ is the amplitude above (+) and below (−) the critical point p_c. In two dimensions (2d), the critical exponent α has the value $\alpha = -2/3$ [13] and $\mathcal{A}^{+} = \mathcal{A}^{-}$.

The subscript 0 indicates an infinite system. The singularity is a weak one and $n(p)$ becomes infinite at p_c only in the third derivative. In terms of the correlation length $\xi \sim |p - p_c|^{-\nu}$ where $2 - \alpha = d\nu$, the singularity is simply ξ^{-d}, where d is the number of dimensions.

The leading amplitude A_0 gives the critical number of clusters per site $n(p_c)$, which has been found exactly in two cases: bond percolation on the square lattice, where the number of clusters per bond is [14, 33]

$$n(p_c) = A_0 = \frac{24\sqrt{3} - 41}{32} = 0.017788106\ldots \tag{2}$$

and bond percolation on the dual triangular and honeycomb lattices, where $n(p_c) = 0.01150783\ldots$ and $0.02331840\ldots$ clusters per bond, respectively [33, 34].

The next amplitude B_0 is also known exactly in some cases. Sykes and Essam [25] showed that, for site percolation on infinite planar lattices,

$$n(p) - \tilde{n}(1 - p) = \phi(p) \tag{3}$$

where $\tilde{n}$ represents the number of clusters on the *matching lattice* in which the vertices in every face of the original lattice are completely connected, and $\phi(p)$ is the *matching polynomial* or Euler characteristic [35] corresponding to the specific lattice. For all fully triangulated lattices, such as the triangular and union-jack lattices, the matching lattice is identical to the original lattice, $p_c = 1/2$, and $\phi(p) = p - 3p^2 + 2p^3$, implying

$$n'(p_c) = B_0 = \phi'(1/2)/2 = -1/4\,. \tag{4}$$

For other lattices, we can find exact results if we include the matching lattice. For example, for a square (SQ) lattice, where $p_c = 0.59274605079210(2)$ [30], $\phi(p) = p - 2p^2 + p^4$, and it follows from (3) that the quantities below are known exactly:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0^{\mathrm{SQ}} - A_0^{\mathrm{NNSQ}} &= p_c - 2p_c^2 + p_c^4 = 0.01349562262604(1), \\ B_0^{\mathrm{SQ}} + B_0^{\mathrm{NNSQ}} &= 1 - 4p_c + 4p_c^3 = -0.537943928141750(5), \\ C_0^{\mathrm{SQ}} - C_0^{\mathrm{NNSQ}} &= -4 + 12p_c^2 = 0.2161745687555(3) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where NNSQ represents the square lattice with next-nearest-neighbor connections.

For finite systems of length L, (1) is replaced by [36]

$$n(p) = A_0 + B_0(p - p_c) + C_0(p - p_c)^2 + L^{-d} f(z) + L^{-2d} g(z) + \ldots\,, \tag{6}$$

where $f(z)$ is the leading scaling function and $g(z)$ is the corrections-to-scaling term. Here $z = b(p - p_c)L^{1/\nu}$ and b is a scale factor depending on the lattice and percolation type (site or bond). We also assume that the boundary conditions are periodic, so there are no surface terms.

The scaling functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ depend upon the system's shape, boundary conditions and dimensionality, but otherwise are universal for all percolation types, including different lattices with site or bond percolation,



Figure 2: An example of a plot of the data used to find amplitudes given in Table I: $n_L''(p_c)$ for site percolation on square lattices vs. $L^{-1/2}$. The line is a fit of (8) which yields values for C_0, C_1 and C_2. Error bars of the MC data are much smaller than the size of the symbols.

continuum systems, etc. They are analytic around the origin, allowing us make a Taylor expansion about $z = 0$, yielding for p near p_c,

$$n_L(p) \sim A + B(p - p_c) + C(p - p_c)^2 + \ldots \tag{7}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A &= A_0 + A_1 L^{-d} + A_2 L^{-2d} + \ldots \\ B &= B_0 + B_1 L^{-d+1/\nu} + B_2 L^{-2d+1/\nu} + \ldots \\ C &= C_0 + C_1 L^{-d+2/\nu} + C_2 L^{-2d+2/\nu} + \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and $A_1 = f(0)$, $B_1 = bf'(0)$, $C_1 = b^2 f''(0)$, and $A_2 = g(0)$, $B_2 = bg'(0)$, $C_2 = b^2 g''(0)$. Note the scale factor b cancels out in the dimensionless ratio

$$R = A_1 C_1 / B_1^2 \tag{9}$$

which should be universal for systems of a given shape.

For $|z| \gg 1$, $f(z) \sim \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{\pm} |z|^{2-\alpha}$ where the amplitudes $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{\pm}$ are universal and *not* shape dependent, because $\xi \ll L$. Then $L^{-d} f(z) \sim \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{\pm} b^{2-\alpha} |p - p_c|^{2-\alpha}$, and when $L \to \infty$, this yields the singular term in (1) with

$$\mathcal{A}^{\pm} = b^{2-\alpha} \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{\pm} \tag{10}$$

The first correction term A_1 is the excess cluster number, introduced in [33]. It is the difference between the actual cluster number $L^2 n(p_c)$ and the expected number $L^2 A_0$, and for compact shapes it is of the order of 1. Using results of conformal field theory, A_1 can be calculated exactly [37], with $A_1 = 0.883576308\ldots$ for a square torus, and $0.878290117\ldots$ for a 60° periodic rhombus [38], equivalent to a rectangle of aspect ratio $\sqrt{3}/2$ with

	X	X_0	X_1
square	A	0.0275981(3)[33]	0.8835(5)[33]
		0.02759791(5)[19]	0.883576308...[37]
		0.02759800(5)[39]	
		0.02759803(2)^m	0.8834(1)^m
	B	−0.3205738(7)^m	0.8708(2)^m
	C	1.9669(3)^m	−3.286(3)^m
honeycomb	A	0.03530709(1)^m	0.9468(1)^m
			0.946883263 . . .^{c}
	B	−0.4109549(6)^m	0.8260(1)^m
	C	2.3082(2)^m	−3.898(1)^m
triangular	A	0.0168(2)[13]	
		0.017630(2)[40]	
		0.017626(1)[41]	
		0.0176255(5)[33]	0.878(1)[33]
		0.017625277(4)^m	0.87839(7)^m
		0.017625277368(2)^s	0.878290117 . . .^{c}
	B	−0.2500006(3)^m	0.8807(1)^m
		$-1/4^d$	
	C	1.5(2)[13]	
		1.91392(9)^m	−3.2909(8)^m
		1.91391790(5)^s	
union-jack	A	0.025662605(6)^m	0.88345(8)^m
			0.883576308...[37]
	B	−0.2500005(3)^m	0.76074(5)^m
		$-1/4^d$	
	C	1.41334(5)^m	−2.5206(3)^m
square(bond)	A	0.0173(3)[13]	
		0.017788096(3)^m	0.44183(1)^m
		0.017788106(1)^s	0.441783154... [37]
		0.01778810567665 . . .	
		$= (24\sqrt{3} - 41)/32$[14, 33]	
	B	−0.2499995(4)^m	0.55504(7)^m
		$-1/4^d$	
	C	1.87706(4)^m	−2.6882(5)^m
		1.87714(2)^s	
		1.4(3)[13]	

Table I: Values of the amplitudes in (7–8) for 2d systems found in previous papers as cited, and in this work, by microcanonical MC simulations^m, series analysis^s, conformal field invariance^c, or duality^d. Numbers in parentheses give errors in the last digit(s). All are for site percolation except for the square-(bond) case. The leading terms X_0 are non-universal, depending upon the type of percolation but not upon the shape of the system, while the correction amplitudes X_1 are shape dependent but otherwise universal, when scaled by the metric factors b in the case of B_1 and C_1.

a twist of 1/2. The latter is a natural boundary for triangular, hexagonal, and related systems and gives the lowest value of A_1 [37].

In order to study these quantities, we carried out extensive studies using a variety of methods. Details will be given in other papers [38, 42]. Many of the results for 2d systems are summarized in Table I, where previous values are also listed. We also carried out simulations in 3d, the results of which will be given in [38].

First of all, we extended the series analysis of $n(p)$ for the triangular lattice to 69th order. In 1976, Domb and Pearce [13] used a 19th-order analysis to find $\alpha = -0.668(4)$ (which led them to correctly conjecture $\alpha = -2/3$) and accurate values of A_0, B_0, C_0 and $\mathcal{A}^{\pm}$. Surprisingly, there have been no determinations of these quantities (other than A_0) since then. Using the substitution $u = p(1-p)$ on $B(u) = \phi(p)/2 + n(p)$ [13] and modern methods of series analysis, we find the very precise result.

$$n(p_c) = A_0 = 0.017625277368(2) \tag{11}$$

and also to very high accuracy the exponent $\alpha = -0.6666670(1)$. We also checked the result (2) for the square bond lattice, and found agreement using a 72-order series (see Table I), although the convergence was slower than for the triangular lattice.

Secondly, we found exact results for $n(p)$ for small $L \times L$ systems using the NZ method [24], with a novel approach to speed up the simulation for the enumeration problem. While going through every possible configuration of the occupied sites, the NZ method is very efficient when one more occupied site is added to a configuration, but to remove an occupied site requires a complete reconstruction of the connection tree. Here, we added sites according to a scheme which goes through every permutation of the 2^{L^2} configurations with a minimal number of restarts, using theorems from extremal combinatorics [38]. We considered several systems of up to $L = 7$, and the resulting polynomials of order L^2 are posted on [43]. For the square lattice with periodic boundary conditions and $L = 3$, for example, the polynomial is

$$\begin{aligned} n_3(p) =& 9pq^8 + 54p^2q^7 + 132p^3q^6 + 171p^4q^5 \\ &+ 135p^5q^4 + 84p^6q^3 + 36p^7q^2 + 9p^8q + p^9 , \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $q = 1 - p$.

Thirdly, we carried out Monte-Carlo (MC) simulations using the NZ method, which generates the microcanonical weights—essentially the coefficients in polynomials such as (12), but for much larger systems. We considered $L \times L$ systems with L up to 1024 for site percolation (s) on the SQ, NNSQ, triangular (TR), honeycomb (HC), and union-jack (UJ) lattices, the 3d cubic lattice, and bond percolation (b) on the SQ lattice. For each size and lattice type we computed up to 10^{10} samples. The NZ method allows one to calculate derivatives of a function [44]; Fig. 1 shows $n''(p)$ for the square-site problem, clearly demonstrating the development of the branch-point singularity, something not shown before [48].

Finally, we carried out direct Monte-Carlo simulations at fixed $p = p_c$, counting clusters and keeping track of $\langle N_c \rangle$, $\langle N_s \rangle$ and $\langle N_c N_s \rangle$, where N_c is the number of clusters and N_s is the number of occupied sites in each sample,

with $\langle N_s\rangle/L^2 = p$. These allow $n'(p)$ to be calculated from

$$n'(p) = \frac{\langle N_s N_c\rangle - \langle N_s\rangle\langle N_c\rangle}{L^2 p(1-p)} \tag{13}$$

which yields $B_1 = n'(p_c)$ at $p = p_c$. We carried this out for site percolation simultaneously on the matching SQ and NNSQ lattices, identifying nearest neighbor clusters on the black sites (probability p) and next-nearest neighbor clusters on the white sites $(1-p)$ in each sample, and verified that (5) holds for large systems.

Analyzing these results [38, 42], we find the values of the amplitudes listed in Table I (but do not show A_2, B_2, and C_2 or 3d results here). Agreement with exact results and with previous values is very good.

Calculating R of (9) we find that the three square-boundary systems give similar values: $R = -3.829(4)$ (SQ,s), $-3.8484(5)$ (UJ,s), $-3.855(2)$ (SQ,b), consistent with a common value of $R = -3.844(10)$, while for TR, where we considered a system of the shape of a rhombus, $R = -3.726(2)$, and for HC, where the system is a rectangle with aspect ratio $\sqrt{3}$, we find $R = -5.410(3)$. Thus, as expected, R is a function of the system shape, but is universal for systems of the same shape.

Table II: Amplitude $\mathcal{A}^{\pm}$ for 2d lattices, with our series [s] and MC [m] results. $\mathcal{A}^{\pm}$ is a universal quantity $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{\pm} = -4.206...$ (assuming $b = 1$ for the square-bond system) when scaled by the corresponding b according to (10), and is not dependent upon the shape of the system.

Lattice	$\mathcal{A}^{\pm}$
SQ,b	$-4.240(15)$[13], $-4.211(1)$[m], -4.2063(2)[s]
SQ,s	$-4.3867(4)$[m]
TR,s	$-4.370(15)$[13], $-4.379(2)$[m], $-4.3730310(2)$[s]
UJ,s	$-3.064(3)$[m]
HC,s	$-5.387(5)$[m]

The quantity $\mathcal{A}^{\pm}$ can be difficult to measure because, for a finite-size system, it represents the behavior for large $|p - p_c|$ so that $\xi \ll L$, yet still within the scaling region. Our 2d results are given in Table II. Table III gives the metric factors calculated from B_1, and C_1 by the equations below (8) and from (10).

We also analyzed the function $M_L(p) = L^2[n_L^{\rm SQ}(p) - n_L^{\rm NNSQ}(1-p) - \phi(p)]$, where $\phi(p) = p - 2p^2 + p^4$ is the matching polynomial (3) for the square lattice. Note that $M_L(p)/L^2 \to 0$ as $L \to \infty$, but $M_L(p)$ converges to a step function that jumps from -1 to $+1$ at $p = p_c$, see Fig. 3. At p_c, we find that $M_L(p_c) \sim L^{-3.58}$ as $L \to \infty$, suggesting that $M_L(p) = 0$ should be an excellent criterion to estimate p_c for general 2d systems.

In conclusion, we have determined many properties of $n(p)$ to high precision. The results are useful to analyze systems, investigate universality, extract quantities such as relative metric factors, and find the amplitudes

Table III: Metric factor b calculated from ratios of B_1, and $(C_1)^{1/2}$, and $(\mathcal{A}^{\pm})^{3/8}$ to those of the SQ,b system (with factors of two in the SQ,b system because there are two bonds per lattice site). Results from Hu et al. [45, 46] are also shown.

Lattice	$b[B_1]$	$b[C_1]$	$b[\mathcal{A}^{\pm}]$	b[Hu]
SQ,b	1	1	1	1
SQ,s	0.7847(3)	0.7818(4)	0.7810(10)	0.79
UJ,s	0.6854(1)	0.6847(1)	0.6815(11)	-
TR,s	-	-	0.780(2)	0.79
HC,s	-	-	0.8435(14)	0.86

$\mathcal{A}^{\pm}$. We have utilized and developed efficient numerical and computational methods to find these quantities, and have shown that simultaneously considering a lattice and its matching lattice can be very useful when coupled with the matching polynomial. Future work is suggested to study different lattices and boundary shapes, and higher dimensions. Perhaps new exact results can also be found, such as $n(p_c)$ for site percolation on the triangular lattice, where we found the precise value (11).

Acknowledgments: IJ was supported under the Australian Research Council's Discovery Projects funding scheme by the grant DP140101110 and an award under the Merit Allocation Scheme on the NCI National Facility.



Figure 3: $M_L(p) = L^2[n^{\rm SQ}(p) - n^{\rm NNSQ}(1-p) - \phi(p)]$ from exact results for $L = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$ (solid lines) and $L = 8, 12, 16, 24, 32, 48$ from MC (dashed lines), plotted as a function of p, and (inset) as a function of the scaling variable $(p - p_c)L^{1/\nu}$, yielding $f(z) - f(-z)$. In the limit $L \to \infty$, $M_L(p)$ becomes a step function.

[1] D. Stauffer and A. Aharony, *Introduction to Percolation Theory* (Taylor & Francis, London, 1994), 2nd ed.
[2] P. J. Flory, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **63**, 3083 (1941).
[3] H. Ottavi, J. Clerc, G. Giraud, J. Roussenq, E. Guyon, and C. D. Mitescu, J. Phys. C: Solid State **11**, 1311 (1978).
[4] R. Larson, L. Scriven, and H. Davis, Chemical Engineering Science **36**, 57 (1981).
[5] C. M. Fortuin and P. W. Kasteleyn, Physica **57**, 536 (1972).
[6] S. N. Dorogovtsev, A. V. Goltsev, and J. F. F. Mendes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 040601 (2006).
[7] C. L. Knecht, W. Trump, D. ben Avraham, and R. M. Ziff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 045703 (2012).
[8] N. A. M. Araújo, J. S. Andrade, R. M. Ziff, and H. J. Herrmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 095703 (2011).
[9] D. Achlioptas, R. M. D'Souza, and J. Spencer, Science **323**, 1453 (2009).
[10] S. Smirnov and W. Werner, Math. Res. Lett. **8**, 729 (2001).
[11] O. Schramm, S. Smirnov, and C. Garban, Annals of Probability **39**, 1768 (2011).
[12] S. M. Flores, P. Kleban, and R. M. Ziff, J. Phys. A: Math.Th. **45**, 505002 (2012).
[13] C. Domb and C. J. Pearce, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **9**, L137 (1976).
[14] H. N. V. Temperley and E. H. Lieb, Proc. R. Soc. London A **322**, 251 (1971).
[15] J. Cardy, J. Phys. A **25**, L201 (1992).
[16] V. A. Vyssotsky, S. B. Gordon, H. L. Frisch, and J. M. Hammersley, Phys. Rev. **123**, 1566 (1961).
[17] P. Dean, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **63**, 477 (1967).
[18] P. J. Reynolds, H. E. Stanley, and W. Klein, Phys. Rev. B **21**, 1223 (1980).
[19] D. Tiggemann, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C **12**, 871 (2001).
[20] X. Xu, J. Wang, J.-P. Lv, and Y. Deng, Frontiers of Physics **9**, 113 (2014).
[21] P. L. Leath, Phys. Rev. B **14**, 5046 (1976).
[22] J. Hoshen and R. Kopelman, Phys. Rev. B **14**, 3438 (1976).
[23] M. E. J. Newman and R. M. Ziff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 4104 (2000).
[24] M. E. J. Newman and R. M. Ziff, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 016706 (2001).
[25] M. F. Sykes and J. W. Essam, J. Math. Phys. **5**, 1117 (1964).
[26] C. R. Scullard and R. M. Ziff, Phys. Rev. E **73**, 045102(R) (2006).
[27] G. R. Grimmett and I. Manolescu, Probability Theory and Related Fields **159**, 273 (2014).
[28] C. R. Scullard and J. L. Jacobsen, J. Phys. A: Math. Th. **45**, 494004 (2012).
[29] J. L. Jacobsen, J. Phys. A: Math. Th. **47**, 135001 (2014).
[30] J. L. Jacobsen, J. Phys. A: Math. Th. **48**, 454003 (2015).
[31] Y. Yang, S. Zhou, and Y. Li, Entertainment Computing **4**, 105 (2013).
[32] M. E. Fisher and J. W. Essam, J. Math. Phys. **2**, 609 (1961).
[33] R. M. Ziff, S. R. Finch, and V. S. Adamchik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3447 (1997).
[34] R. J. Baxter, H. N. V. Temperley, and S. E. Ashley, Proc. R. Soc. London A **358**, 535 (1978).
[35] R. A. Neher, K. Mecke, and H. Wagner, J. Stat. Mech.: Th. Exp. **2008**, P01011 (2008).
[36] A. Aharony and D. Stauffer, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **30**, L301 (1997).
[37] R. M. Ziff, C. D. Lorenz, and P. Kleban, Physica A **266**, 17 (1999).
[38] S. Mertens, I. Jensen, and R. M. Ziff, in preparation (2016).
[39] H. Hu, H. W. J. Blöte, and Y. Deng, J. Phys. A: Math. Th. **45**, 494006 (2012).
[40] A. Margolina, H. Nakanishi, D. Stauffer, and H. E. Stanley, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **17**, 1683 (1984).
[41] D. C. Rapaport, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **19**, 291 (1986).
[42] I. Jensen, S. Mertens, and R. M. Ziff, in preparation (2016).
[43] S. Mertens (2016), URL http://www.ovgu.de/mertens/research/percolation/.
[44] R. M. Ziff and M. E. J. Newman, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 016129 (2002).
[45] C.-K. Hu, C.-Y. Lin, and J.-A. Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2786 (1995).
[46] C.-K. Hu, C.-Y. Lin, and J.-A. Chen, Physica A **221**, 80 (1995).
[47] H. Hu, H. W. J. Blöte, R. M. Ziff, and Y. Deng, Phys. Rev. E **90**, 042106 (2014).
[48] For a plot of a closely related specific heat function, see [47].